**ASSESSMENT OF CASE REVIEW**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Name of Learner Learner PIN** | | |
|  | **Copy of Patient Record: Case review ID** | | |
| 1 | Has the patient record copy been anonymised of the following details:   * Biographical details Y /N * Patient identification number or bar code Y / N * Hospital name & address Y / N * Names of other practitioners or has permission to use Y / N * Signatures of other practitioners or has permission to use Y/N | | |
| 2 | Has the copy of the record been authenticated to your satisfaction? Y / N | | |
| 3 | **Case Review** | | |
|  | **Application of knowledge & understanding.** This should include *as applicable*: a) patient condition / injury with applied anatomy and physiology or psychology and mechanism of injury b) pharmacology and pharmacokinetics; c) assessment d) interventions e) management and treatment.   * **Excellent** application with convincing arguments * **Good** exploration with independent thought * **Adequate** but work is predominantly descriptive * **Requires more work**: Descriptive content with some application but no independent thought and / or very limited underpinning knowledge and understanding demonstrated. | | |
|  | **Identifies Issues or concerns** **in the care delivered**   * **Excellent**: identifies all key issues/ concerns * **Good**: identifies the ‘red flag’ and the most important key issues/concerns * **Satisfactory**: identifies all red flag[[1]](#footnote-1) concerns and some of the most important key issues/ concerns. * **Requires more work**: Fails to identify ‘red flag’ issues / concerns | | |
|  | **Decision-Making:** Discusses choices or course of action that could have been taken and provides rational about action taken & why other choices were excluded.   * **Excellent**, clear decision making with exceptional rationales provided for choices underpinned by knowledge and up to date evidence-based practice. * **Good** decision-making with some convincing rationales provided for choices and some underpinning of knowledge and evidence-based practice * **Satisfactory** decision making and provides some rationale for choices made but not underpinned by evidence-based practice * Requires more work: Fails to evidence any decision making and or fails to identify ‘red flag’ issues / concerns | | |
|  | **Evaluation**: Evaluates care provision and outcomes for the individual patient.   * **Excellent** robust evaluation, analysing both the strengths and all weaknesses in care delivery with detailed discussion on how issues identified could or will be addressed. * **Good** evaluation analysing some of the strengths and weaknesses with some discussion on how issues identified could or will be addressed. * **Satisfactory** evaluation with minimal analysis of the strengths and weaknesses in care delivery and nominal discussion on how issues could be addressed * **Requires more work**: very limited or no evaluation provided on care delivery. | | |
|  | **Use of Policies / Guidelines that influence patient care & decision making**.   * **Excellent** demonstration of use and evaluation of implications of all key and other appropriate national and local policies and guidelines and evaluation of how they influence care and decision making. * **Good** identification of key policies and practices that influenced the patient’s care and personal decision making with some evaluation of implications. * **Satisfactory** identification of some key polices and guidelines that influenced that influence the patient’s care but with limited evaluation of the implications on care or decision-making. * **Requires more work**: lack of identification of key policies and guidelines that influence the patient’s care and personal decision making. | | |
|  | **Appropriate Referencing**   * **Excellent** use of a range of referenced material with in-text citations and paraphrasing throughout. * **Good** use of a range of correctly referenced sources with in-text citations and some paraphrasing * **Satisfactory** use of suitable referenced sources and in-text citations but content is poorly paraphrased. * **Requires more work**: very limited use of suitable referenced sources and material is poorly paraphrased with inadequate in-text citations and/or work shows no use of references or shows signs of plagiarism *i.e. using sources without referencing*. | | |
|  | **Structure and Organisation of Case Review**.   * **Excellent**: Coherent, polished and fluent; worthy of publication. * **Good** but lacks some polish and fluency * **Satisfactory** but has some omissions * **Requires more work**: poor standard of structure and presentation in terms of professional language, typography, syntax or punctuation | | |
|  | **Overall**:   * **Excellent** case review □ **Good** Case review □ **Satisfactory** case review * **Requires more work**: Case review requires amendments / addendum. | | |
| **Assessor Name & PIN** | | **Assessor Signature** | **Date** |

1. *Red Flags are signs and symptoms found in the patient history and clinical examination that may tie the finding to a serious pathology or /injury – actual or potential.* [↑](#footnote-ref-1)